# toi <br> Replacing air travel with rail travel? 

## A simplistic mode choice analysis of a comprehensive scenario



## PATHWAYS WP2 - Estimating behavioural change

## Rail availability scenario - domestic and cross-border

- a railway station in all cities (approx. 100)
" implying more new railway lines than the planned Northern Norway Line
- more cross-border lines were also described
- increasing average long-distance travel speed (from ca. 70 to $120 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ )
- bring rail travel service levels closer to those for air travel
- reservation to final destination, check-in of luggage to final destination, travel class selection, same frequency as for air, not more rail transfers than stopovers / flight-changes, stations more like airports



## Replacing air travel with rail travel?

Scenario of rail availability, domestic/cross-border; higher speed, etc.

Given the scenario, would rail more relevant for travel abroad?


## Replacing air travel with rail travel?

Choice between train and airplane to Northern European destination

- travel distance about 1000 km (holiday/leisure trip purpose)
- equal/comparable standards for rail and air travel
- but longer travel time, door-to-door, by train
- $1 / 2$ of the respondents were informed about "more than $100 \%$ longer" travel time
- the other $1 / 2$ informed about "almost 50\% longer" travel time
* In the $1^{\text {st }}$ choice, total travel cost was equal for rail travel and air travel
$\nLeftarrow$ In the $2^{\text {nd }}$ choice, total travel cost was lower for rail travel
- $1 / 2$ of the respondents were informed about "20\% lower" travel cost
" the other ½ informed about "50\% lower" travel cost


## Choice between train and airplane to Northern European destination

$\mathbf{1 s t}^{\text {st }}$ choice: train or airplane to North European holiday/leisure destination ( $\sim 1000 \mathrm{~km}$ ) - same travel cost (and service levels); longer travel time by train


## Choice between train and airplane to Northern European destination

$\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }} \& \mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }}$ choices: shares choosing train vs. airplane and opt-out

- longer travel time by train, door-to-door ( $100 \%$ or $50 \%$ )
- varying relative price for rail travel vs. air travel (equal, $-20 \%$, or -50\%)



## Replacing air travel with rail travel?

## Summary

- "Permission to dream" ... the rail development scenario was obviously "ambitious"
- the scenario might be brought closer to reality in areas were railways exist or are planned / in construction
- it is not a scenario that implies high-speed rail
- still, increase of travel speed, improved service levels (e.g., reservation / check-in to final destination abroad), and improved inter-modality, first/last mile, etc., remain challenging
- Stated choices indicates a considerable potential demand
$\checkmark$ if relative prices are tilted by combined use of taxes ("sticks") and subsidies ("carrots"), rail might take a much larger share to Northern European destinations market
$\checkmark$ we derive $56 \%$ from the lowest additional travel time combined with the best relative discount (although with a lower bound of $16 \%$, based on definite rail choices)
- Better rail travel alternative might have particular importance for some segments
$\checkmark$ analysis (MNL) shows that the elderly and the share (1/3) with lowest income attached relatively more value to the rail travel alternative
$\checkmark$... rail does also have other societal functions

